Dynamical angled brane

Kei-ichi Maeda

Department of Physics, Waseda University,

Okubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

Kunihito Uzawa

Department of Physics, School of Science and Technology,

Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1337, Japan.

(Dated: March 8, 2016)

arXiv:1603.01948v1 [hep-th] 7 Mar 2016

Abstract

We discuss the dynamical Dp-brane solutions describing any number of Dp-branes whose relative orientations are given by certain SU(2) rotations. These are the generalization of the static angled Dp-brane solutions. We study the collision of dynamical D3-brane with angles in type II string theory, and show that the particular orientation of the smeared D3-brane configuration can provide an example of colliding branes if they have the same charges. Otherwise a singularity appears before D3-branes collide.

PACS numbers: 11.25.-w, 11.27.+d, 11.25.Uv

I. INTRODUCTION

The time-dependent brane solutions in string theory were introduced in [1–29] and have been widely used ever since. But some aspects of the physical properties such as dynamical p-brane oriented at angles have remained slightly unclear.

The angled Dp-brane solutions was discovered by [30], following the recognition of the several role of D-brane configurations in string theory [31–33]. The classical solution on ten-dimensional spacetime that should be obtained to introduce oriented at angles in string theories were studied from several points of view in [21, 30, 33, 34]. They have discussed the link between the supersymmetric configurations, intersection of brane and the rotation angles. The other completely consistent computations for angled D-branes were performed in [31, 32]. The articles [33, 35, 36] give a thorough review of much of what was known in the late 1990's.

These results are more transparent if the dynamical Dp-brane solution in string theory is discussed in terms of supergravity theory, aiming to reduce everything to ordinary higher-dimensional general relativity. In the present paper, we will construct new solutions with nontrivial angles in string theory and study the dynamical behavior that one would expect of a string theory with the time-dependent fields and branes.

We start by describing the appropriate ansatz of fields and metric in string theory, and find the solution of dynamical D2-brane with angle in section II A. The solution is straightforwardly generalized from the static angled D2-brane background. This is a good illustration, and also an important example in order to understand the orientation of a particular type brane configuration, which is so called the angled D-brane system [30–32].

Using the T-duality map between the type IIA and IIB string theories we can obtain the dynamical angled D3- and D4-brane solutions in terms of dynamical D2-branes. In section II B, we study the time evolution of the dynamical D3-brane background in detail, and also apply this analysis to the D4-brane with rotation angles in sec. II C. We will summarize the general dynamical N Dp-brane system with angles in sec. II D, and provide more details about the collision of two D3-brane in the presence of angles in sec. III. Finally, in section IV is devoted to summary and discussion.

II. DYNAMICAL Dp-BRANE SYSTEM WITH ANGLES

A. D2-brane with angle

In this section, we consider dynamical D2-branes oriented at some angles in ten dimensions. The ten-dimensional spacetime metric depends on time as well as the rotation angles which describe the orientations of various D2-branes. First, we write down the Einstein equations under a particular ansatz for fields, which is a generalization of the metric form of known static angled D2-brane solutions. Then, we solve the Einstein equations and present the dynamical solutions explicitly.

The action for the D2-brane system in the Einstein frame is written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int\left(R*\mathbf{1}-\frac{1}{2}*d\phi\wedge d\phi-\frac{1}{2\cdot 4!}\mathrm{e}^{\phi/2}*F_{(4)}\wedge F_{(4)}\right), \tag{1}$$

where κ^2 is the ten-dimensional gravitational constant, $*$ is the Hodge dual operator in the ten-dimensional spacetime, and $F_{(4)}$ is 4-form field strength. We assume that the 4-form $F_{(4)}$ in the action Eq. (1) is now given by

$$F_{(4)} = dC_{(3)}, \tag{2}$$

where $C_{(3)}$ is the 3-form gauge field. After variations with respect to the metric, the scalar field, and the gauge field, we obtain the field equations,

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_M\phi\partial_N\phi+\frac{1}{2\cdot 4!}\mathrm{e}^{\phi/2}\left(2F_{MABC}F_N{}^{ABC}-\frac{3}{8}g_{MN}F_{(4)}^2\right), \tag{3a}$$

$$d*d\phi = \frac{1}{4\cdot 4!}\mathrm{e}^{\phi/2}*F_{(4)}\wedge F_{(4)}\,, \tag{3b}$$

$$d\left(\mathrm{e}^{\phi/2}*F_{(4)}\right) = 0\,. \tag{3c}$$

1. *Single D2-brane*

First we consider a single D2-brane solution. The geometry of dynamical D2-brane configuration in ten-dimensional spacetime is assumed to be

$$ds^2 = h^{-5/8}(t,z)\left(-dt^2+\gamma_{ij}dy^idy^j\right)+h^{3/8}(t,z)u_{ab}(z)dz^adz^b\,, \tag{4a}$$

$$\gamma_{ij}dy^idy^j = \delta_{ij}dy^idy^j+f(t,z)\left[\left(\cos\theta dy^1-\sin\theta dy^2\right)^2+\left(\cos\theta dy^3+\sin\theta dy^4\right)^2\right], \tag{4b}$$

$$h(t,z) = 1+f(t,z)\,, \tag{4c}$$

where ds^2 is the line element in the Einstein frame in ten dimensions, δ_{ij} is the four-dimensional flat Euclidean metric, and u_{ab} is the metric of the five-dimensional space Z depending only on the five-dimensional coordinates z^a. The dilaton field and the gauge field strengths are assumed to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{\phi} &= h^{1/2}\,, && \text{(5a)} \\ F_{(4)} &= d\left[1-h^{-1}(t,z)\right]\wedge dt\wedge\left(-\sin^2\theta dy^1\wedge dy^3+\sin\theta\cos\theta dy^1\wedge dy^4\right. \\ &\quad \left.-\sin\theta\cos\theta dy^2\wedge dy^3+\cos^2\theta dy^2\wedge dy^4\right)\,. && \text{(5b)} \end{aligned}$$

We first solve the equation for the gauge field strength $F_{(4)}$. Substituting the above ansatz for the fields and the metric form into Eq. (3c), we find

$$\partial_t\partial_a h=0\,,\qquad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h=0\,. \tag{6}$$

Then, the function h is given by

$$h(t,z)=h_0(t)+h_1(z)\,. \tag{7}$$

Then we solve the equation for the scalar field; Eq. (3b). Using the assumptions (4) and (5b), we have

$$h^{-3/8}\left(\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h\right)=0\,, \tag{8}$$

where $\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is the Laplace operator on Z. Combining Eq. (8) with Eqs. (6), (7), we find

$$\partial_t^2 h_0=0\,,\qquad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h_1=0\,. \tag{9}$$

Finally we analyze the Einstein equations (3a). Using the ansatz (4) and (5), the Einstein equations become

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{11}{16}h^{-1}\partial_t^2 h+\frac{5}{16}h^{-2}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h=0\,, && \text{(10a)} \\ &h^{-1}\partial_t\partial_a h=0\,, && \text{(10b)} \\ &\frac{5}{16}h^{-1}\gamma_{ij}\left(\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h\right)+\frac{1}{2}h^{-1}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}\gamma_{ij}=0\,, && \text{(10c)} \\ &R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})+\frac{3}{16}u_{ab}\left(\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h\right)=0\,, && \text{(10d)} \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})$ is the Ricci tensor of the five-dimensional space Z. Hence, the field equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})=0\,, && \text{(11a)} \\ &h(t,z)=h_0(t)+h_1(z)\,,\quad \partial_t^2 h_0=0\,,\quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}h_1=0\,,\quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}f=0\,. && \text{(11b)} \end{aligned}$$

This is the exact solution of the present system for any given Ricci flat metric u_{ab}.

As an example, we set

$$u_{ab} = \delta_{ab}\,, \tag{12}$$

where δ_{ab} is the five-dimensional Euclidean metric. Then we find an exact solution for a single brane solution:

$$h_0(t) = c_0 t + c_1\,, \quad h_1(z) = c_2 + \frac{Q_1}{|z^a - z^a_{(1)}|^3}\,, \tag{13}$$

where c_0, c_1, c_2, Q_1, and $z^a_{(1)}$ are constants. Q_1 is the charge of the D2-brane, and $z^a_{(1)}$ is the position of D2-brane. The mass of the brane is given by $M_1 = |Q_1|$. If, however, some spatial dimensions of Z space are smeared by the D2-branes, we will find

$$h_0(t) = c_0 t + c_1\,, \quad h_1(z) = c_2 + \frac{Q_1}{|z^a - z^a_{(1)}|^{3-d_{\rm Z}}}\,, \tag{14}$$

where $d_{\rm Z}(< 5)$ is the smeared dimensions. For the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, we have to replace h_1 with

$$h_1(z) = c_2 + Q_1 \ln |z^a - z^a_{(1)}|^{3-d_{\rm Z}}\,. \tag{15}$$

Although the above exact solution includes the orientation angle θ in the solution, it is equivalent to a single brane solution without an angle. In fact we find that the above solution is reduced to a single D2-brane solution without an angle if we rotate the y_1-y_2, and y_3-y_4 planes by the angles θ and $-\theta$, respectively, as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y^{1'} \\ y^{2'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^1 \\ y^2 \end{bmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{bmatrix} y^{3'} \\ y^{4'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^3 \\ y^4 \end{bmatrix}\,. \tag{16}$$

Hence if every N D2-branes are parallel each other, we find the exact solution for N D2-branes with the same angle θ as Eq. (4) with Eq. (7) and

$$h_0(t) = c_0 t + c_1\,, \quad h_1(z) = c_2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{Q_\alpha}{|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|^{3-d_{\rm Z}}}\,, \tag{17}$$

where c_0, c_1, c_2, Q_α, and $z^a_{(\alpha)}$ are constants and $d_{\rm Z}(= 0, \cdots, 4)$ is the smeared dimensions. In the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, the power function must be replaced by $\ln |z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|$.

Q_α, and $z^a_{(\alpha)}$ denote the charges of branes and their positions, respectively. This solution is the same as that without an angle.

2. Two D2-Branes

Next we construct the exact solutions of two intersecting D2-branes with non-trivial angle. The ten-dimensional metric, scalar field and a single 3-form potential are assumed to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= h^{-5/8}(t,z)\left(-dt^2+\gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j\right)+h^{3/8}(t,z)u_{ab}(z)dz^a dz^b\,, && \text{(18a)}\\
\gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j &= \delta_{ij}dy^i dy^j + f_{(1)}\left[\left(\cos\theta_1 dy^1-\sin\theta_1 dy^2\right)^2+\left(\cos\theta_1 dy^3+\sin\theta_1 dy^4\right)^2\right]\\
&\quad + f_{(2)}\left[\left(\cos\theta_2 dy^1-\sin\theta_2 dy^2\right)^2+\left(\cos\theta_2 dy^3+\sin\theta_2 dy^4\right)^2\right]\,, && \text{(18b)}\\
h(t,z) &= 1+f_{(1)}(t,z)+f_{(2)}(t,z)+f_{(1)}(t,z)f_{(2)}(t,z)\sin^2\theta\,, && \text{(18c)}\\
\mathrm{e}^{\phi} &= h^{1/2}\,, && \text{(18d)}\\
C_{(3)} &= h^{-1}(t,z)dt\wedge\left[-\left\{f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta_1+f_{(2)}\sin^2\theta_2+f_{(1)}f_{(2)}\sin^2(\theta_1-\theta_2)\right\}dy^1\wedge dy^3\right.\\
&\quad +\left(f_{(1)}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1+f_{(2)}\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2\right)\left(dy^1\wedge dy^4-dy^2\wedge dy^3\right)\\
&\quad \left.+\left\{f_{(1)}\cos^2\theta_1+f_{(2)}\cos^2\theta_2+f_{(1)}f_{(2)}\cos^2(\theta_1-\theta_2)\right\}dy^2\wedge dy^4\right]\,, && \text{(18e)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta=\theta_2-\theta_1$. The notable property with the non-trivial angle appears in the non-linear interaction term in Eq. (18c).

In the following, we consider two D2-brane system with the different rotation angles (θ_1,θ_2) where $\theta_1\neq\theta_2$. We assume $(\theta_1,\theta_2)=(0,\theta)$ $(\theta\neq 0)$ without loss of generality, because we can always set one angle to be zero by an appropriate rotation of y^i-coordinates.

In terms of the ansatz for fields and metric, the gauge field equation (3c) gives

$$
\partial_t\partial_a f_{(1)}=0\,,\qquad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(1)}=0\,,\qquad \partial_t\partial_a f_{(2)}=0\,,\qquad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(2)}=0\,. \tag{19}
$$

From the equation of scalar field, we find

$$
h^{-3/8}\left[\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\left\{\left(1+f_{(2)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(1)}+\left(1+f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(2)}\right\}\right]=0\,. \tag{20}
$$

Under our ansatz, the Einstein equations become

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{11}{16}h^{-1}\partial_t^2 h+\frac{5}{16}h^{-2}\left[\left(1+f_{(2)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(1)}+\left(1+f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(2)}\right]=0\,, && \text{(21a)}\\
&h^{-1}\left[\left(1+f_2\sin^2\theta\right)\partial_t\partial_a f_1+\left(1+f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta\right)\partial_t\partial_a f_{(2)}\right]=0\,, && \text{(21b)}\\
&\frac{5}{16}h^{-1}\gamma_{ij}\left[\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\left\{\left(1+f_{(2)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(1)}+\left(1+f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(2)}\right\}\right]+\frac{1}{2}h^{-1}\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}}\gamma_{ij}=0\,, && \text{(21c)}\\
&R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})+\frac{3}{16}u_{ab}\left[\partial_t^2 h-h^{-1}\left\{\left(1+f_{(2)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(1)}+\left(1+f_{(1)}\sin^2\theta\right)\triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} f_{(2)}\right\}\right]=0\,, && \text{(21d)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})$ is the Ricci tensor of the Z space. Then, the field equations reduce to

$$R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z}) = 0\,, \tag{22a}$$

$$f_{(1)}(t,z) = \tilde{f}_{(1)}(t) + \bar{f}_{(1)}(z)\,, \qquad f_{(2)}(t,z) = \tilde{f}_{(2)}(t) + \bar{f}_{(2)}(z)\,, \tag{22b}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{f}_{(1)} = 0\,, \quad \partial_t^2 \tilde{f}_{(2)} = 0\,, \quad \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(1)} \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(2)} = 0\,, \quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} \bar{f}_{(1)} = 0\,, \quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} \bar{f}_{(2)} = 0\,. \tag{22c}$$

For a given Ricci flat Z space, we can obtain the exact solution for two D2-brane system with different angles by solving the above equation (22c). As a result, at least one of $\tilde{f}_{(1)}$ and $\tilde{f}_{(2)}$ must be constant and then only one brane can be time-dependent, i.e., for $\alpha = 1$ or 2,

$$\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = c_{(\alpha)0}\, t + c_{(\alpha)1}\,, \tag{23}$$

where $c_{(\alpha)0}, c_{(\alpha)1}$ are constant, and the other $\tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = c_{(\beta)1}$ $(\beta \neq \alpha)$ are constant.

3. Generalization to N D2-brane system with different angles

Following the same procedure as the case of two D2-brane, we can generalize the solution found in the previous section to the N D2-brane system with different angles $\theta_\alpha (\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)$. .

The ten-dimensional metric [30, 33] is given by

$$ds^2 = h^{-5/8}\left[-dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} dy^i dy^j + h u_{ab}(\mathrm{Z}) dz^a dz^b\right], \tag{24a}$$

$$\gamma_{ij} dy^i dy^j = \delta_{ij} dy^i dy^j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left[\left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^1{}_i dy^i \right\}^2 + \left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^3{}_j dy^j \right\}^2 \right], \tag{24b}$$

$$h(t,z) = 1 + f(t,z)\,. \tag{24c}$$

The metric (24) denotes N D2-brane system such that each brane first lying in the (y^2, y^4) plane rotates by an angle θ_α $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)$ in the (y^1, y^2) and (y^3, y^4) planes as $(\xi, \eta) \to (\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_\alpha}\xi, \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_\alpha}\eta)$ where $\xi = y^1 + iy^2$ and $\eta = y^3 + iy^4$, which rotation belongs to SU(2) group.

The function h and the rotation matrix $R_{(\alpha)}$ associated with the αth D2-brane is given by

$$f(t,z) \;=\; \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha<\beta}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} f_{(\beta)} \sin^2\left(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta\right), \tag{25a}$$

$$R_{(\alpha)} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_\alpha & -\sin\theta_\alpha & & \\ \sin\theta_\alpha & \cos\theta_\alpha & & \\ & & \cos\theta_\alpha & \sin\theta_\alpha \\ & & -\sin\theta_\alpha & \cos\theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \tag{25b}$$

where $R_{(\alpha)}(\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)$ are SO(4) ($\cong$ SU(2)) matrices that correspond to the rotation of D2-branes. The scalar field ϕ and the 3-form gauge field $C_{(3)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(3)} \;=\; & h^{-1} dt \wedge \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)_i^2 dy^i \wedge \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)_j^4 dy^j \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{a<b}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} f_{(\beta)} \sin^2\left(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta\right) \left(dy^1 \wedge dy^3 - dy^2 \wedge dy^4\right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{26a}$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\phi} \;=\; h^{1/2}. \tag{26b}$$

The assumption for fields are a straightforward generalization of the case of a static D2-brane system with certain SU(2) angle, in the type IIA low energy effective string theory [30, 33]. From the field equations, the ten-dimensional metric (24) have to obey

$$R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z}) = 0\,, \tag{27a}$$

$$f_{(\alpha)}(t,z) = \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)}(t) + \bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)\,, \tag{27b}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0\,, \quad \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = 0\,,\ (\alpha \neq \beta) \quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} \bar{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0\,. \tag{27c}$$

This gives the exact solution for N D2-brane system with different angles for giving Ricci flat Z space. As two brane system, only one brane can be time-dependent,

$$\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = c_{(\alpha)0} t + c_{(\alpha)1}\,, \tag{28}$$

where $c_{(\alpha)0}, c_{(\alpha)1}$ are constant, and the other $\tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = c_{(\beta)1}$ $(\beta \neq \alpha)$ are constant. The interesting property for the case with the non-trivial angle is the non-linear interaction term found in Eq. (25a).

For the case $u_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ in more detail, where δ_{ab} are the five-dimensional flat Euclidean metric. The functions $\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}$ are harmonic which are associated with D2-branes located at

$z^a = z^a_{(\alpha)}$:

$$\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) = \bar{c}_\alpha + \frac{Q_\alpha}{|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|^{3-d_{\rm Z}}}, \tag{29}$$

where c_α, Q_α and $z^a_{(\alpha)}$ are constants and $d_{\rm Z}(= 0, \cdots, 4)$ is the smeared dimensions in the Z space. In the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, the power function must be replaced by $\ln|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|$.

B. D3-brane with angle

Next, we study D3-brane system with angle, which is obtained by a T-duality transformation from the solutions presented in Sec. II A. Let us consider the D2-brane solutions (24). To perform a T-duality along the z^5 direction, we have to smear and delocalize the solution in this direction. Hence h does not depend on z^5.

The ten-dimensional T-duality map from the type IIA theory to type IIB theory is given by [30, 37]

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{(\rm B)}_{\zeta\zeta} = \frac{1}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \quad g^{(\rm B)}_{MN} = g^{(\rm A)}_{MN} - \frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta M} g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta N} - B^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta M} B^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta N}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \quad g^{(\rm B)}_{\zeta M} = -\frac{B^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta M}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \\
&{\rm e}^{2\phi_{(\rm B)}} = \frac{{\rm e}^{2\phi_{(\rm A)}}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \quad B^{(\rm B)}_{MN} = B^{(\rm A)}_{MN} + 2\frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta[M} B^{(\rm A)}_{N]\zeta}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \quad B^{(\rm B)}_{\zeta M} = -\frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta M}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \\
&C_{MN} = C_{MN\zeta} - 2C_{[M} B^{(\rm A)}_{N]\zeta} + 2\frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta[M} B^{(\rm A)}_{N]\zeta} C_z}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \quad C_{\zeta M} = C_M - \frac{C^{(\rm A)}_\zeta g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta M}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}}, \\
&C_{MNP\zeta} = C_{MNP} - \frac{3}{2}\left(C_{[M} B^{(\rm A)}_{NP]} - \frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta[M} B^{(\rm A)}_{NP]} C_\zeta}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}} + \frac{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta[M} C_{NP]\zeta}}{g^{(\rm A)}_{\zeta\zeta}} \right), \quad C_{(0)} = -C_\zeta ,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $\zeta = z^5$ is the coordinate to which the T dualization is applied, and M, N, P denote the other coordinates; $0, y^i (i = 1, \cdots, 4)$, and $z^a (a = 1, \cdots, 4)$. Via the T-duality map (30), we obtain the metric of dynamical N D3-brane system in ten-dimensional background as [30, 33]

$$ds^2 = h^{-1/2}\left[-dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j + d\zeta^2 + h u_{ab}(z) dz^a dz^b\right], \tag{31a}$$

$$\gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j = \delta_{ij}dy^i dy^j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left[\left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^1{}_i dy^i \right\}^2 + \left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^3{}_j dy^j \right\}^2 \right], \tag{31b}$$

$$h(t, z) = 1 + f(t, z). \tag{31c}$$

where the function f and the rotation matrix $R_{(\alpha)}$ associated with the αth D3-brane are given by (25).

This describes N D3-brane system such that each brane first lying in the (y^2, y^4, ζ) space rotates by an angle θ_α $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)$ in the y^1-y^2 and y^3-y^4 planes as $(\xi, \eta) \to (\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_\alpha}\xi, \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_\alpha}\eta)$ where $\xi = y^1 + iy^2$ and $\eta = y^3 + iy^4$, which rotation belongs to SU(2) group.

Since we apply the T-duality map from the type IIA to the type IIB theory along $\zeta = z^5$, the smeared-out solution yields

$$F_{(5)} = (1 \pm *)\, d\left(h^{-1}\right) dt \wedge dy^5 \wedge \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)_i^2 dy^i \wedge \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)_j^4 dy^j - \sum_{a<b}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} f_{(\beta)} \sin^2\left(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta\right) \left(dy^1 \wedge dy^3 - dy^2 \wedge dy^4\right) \right\}, \tag{32a}$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\phi} = \mathrm{e}^{2\phi_0}, \tag{32b}$$

where ϕ_0 is constant and $*$ denotes the Hodge dual operator in the ten-dimensional background. Using the above results, the field equations give

$$R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z}) = 0, \tag{33a}$$

$$f_{(\alpha)}(t, z) = \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)}(t) + \bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z), \tag{33b}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0, \quad \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = 0 \ (\alpha \neq \beta), \quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} \bar{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0. \tag{33c}$$

This gives the exact solution for N D3-brane system with different angles for giving Ricci flat Z space. As D2-brane system, only one brane can be time-dependent,

$$\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = c_{(\alpha)0} t + c_{(\alpha)1}, \tag{34}$$

where $c_{(\alpha)0}, c_{(\alpha)1}$ are constant, and the other $\tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = c_{(\beta)1}$ $(\beta \neq \alpha)$ are constant.

For the metric $u_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, where δ_{ab} are the four-dimensional flat Euclidean metric, we find the exact solution

$$\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) = \bar{c}_\alpha + \frac{Q_\alpha}{|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|^{2-d_{\mathrm{Z}}}}, \tag{35}$$

where $\bar{c}_\alpha$, Q_α and $z^a_{(\alpha)}$ are constant parameters and and $d_{\mathrm{Z}} (= 0, \cdots, 3)$ is the smeared dimensions in the Z space. In the case of $d_{\mathrm{Z}} = 2$, the power function must be replaced by $\ln|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|$. Q_α denotes a charge (or mass) of D3-brane and $z^a = z^a_{(\alpha)}$ is the position of D3-brane.

C. D4-brane with angle

Now we will give the dynamical solution of N D4-brane system after we apply a T-duality in the z^4 direction of the ten-dimensional spacetime (31). The T-duality relations from type IIB to type IIA are given by [34, 37–39]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\zeta'\zeta'} = \frac{1}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,, \qquad g^{(\mathrm{A})}_{MN} = g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{MN} - \frac{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' M} g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' N} - B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' M} B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' N}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,, \qquad g^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\zeta' M} = -\frac{B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' M}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,,\\
&\mathrm{e}^{2\phi_{(\mathrm{A})}} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\phi_{(\mathrm{B})}}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,, \qquad C_M = C_{\zeta' M} + \chi B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' M}\,, \qquad C'_\zeta = -\chi\,,\\
&B^{(\mathrm{A})}_{MN} = B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{MN} + 2\frac{B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'[M}\, g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{N]\zeta'}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,, \qquad B^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\zeta' M} = -\frac{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta' M}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,, \qquad C_{\zeta' MN} = C_{MN} + 2\frac{C_{\zeta'[M}\, g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{N]\zeta'}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}}\,,\\
&C_{MNP} = C_{MNP\zeta'} + \frac{3}{2}\left(C_{\zeta'[M}\, B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{NP]} - B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'[M}\, C_{NP]} - 4\frac{B^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'[M}\, C_{|\zeta'|N} g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{P]\zeta'}}{g^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\zeta'\zeta'}} \right)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

where $\zeta' = z^4$ is the coordinate to which the T-duality is performed. The indices M, N and P denote the other coordinates: 0, $y^i (i = 1, \cdots, 2)$, ζ and $z^a (a = 1, 2, 3)$. We delocalize the D3-brane solution in the transverse coordinate $\zeta' = z^4$. With the relations in (36), applying T-duality along this direction to produce a system of N D4-brane system with different angles, the type IIA metric is given by

$$
ds^2 = h^{-3/8}\left[-dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} dy^i dy^j + d\zeta^2 + d\zeta'^2 + h u_{ab}(z) dz^a dz^b \right], \tag{37a}
$$

$$
\gamma_{ij} dy^i dy^j = \delta_{ij} dy^i dy^j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left[\left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^1{}_i dy^i \right\}^2 + \left\{ \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^3{}_j dy^j \right\}^2 \right], \tag{37b}
$$

$$
h(t, z) = 1 + f(t, z)\,, \tag{37c}
$$

where the function f and the rotation matrix $R_{(\alpha)}$ associated with the αth D4-brane is defined as (25). The other fields are a straightforward generalization of the case of a static D4-brane system with certain angles in the type IIA low energy effective string theory [30, 33]:

$$
F_{(4)} = dy^i \wedge dy^j \epsilon_{ijk}\, \partial_k \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)} \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^2{}_\ell\, dy^\ell \wedge \left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^4{}_m\, dy^m \right], \tag{38}
$$

$$
\mathrm{e}^{2\phi} = h^{-1/2}. \tag{39}
$$

Then, the field equations reduce to

$$R_{ab}(\mathrm{Z}) = 0\,, \tag{40a}$$

$$f_{(\alpha)}(t,z) = \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)}(t) + \bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)\,, \tag{40b}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0\,, \quad \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} \partial_t \tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = 0\,,\ (\alpha \neq \beta) \quad \triangle_{\mathrm{Z}} \bar{f}_{(\alpha)} = 0\,. \tag{40c}$$

This gives the exact solution for N D4-brane system with different angles for giving Ricci flat Z space. As the other multi brane systems, only one brane can be time-dependent,

$$\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)} = c_{(\alpha)0} t + c_{(\alpha)1}\,, \tag{41}$$

where $c_{(\alpha)0}, c_{(\alpha)1}$ are constant, and the other $\tilde{f}_{(\beta)} = c_{(\beta)1}$ $(\beta \neq \alpha)$ are constant.

For the metric $u_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, where δ_{ab} are the three-dimensional flat Euclidean metric, the harmonic functions $\bar{f}_\alpha$ is given by

$$\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) = \bar{c}_\alpha + \frac{Q_\alpha}{|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|^{1-d_{\mathrm{Z}}}}, \tag{42}$$

where $\bar{c}_\alpha$, Q_α and $z^a_{(\alpha)}$ are constants and $d_{\mathrm{Z}} (= 0, \cdots, 2)$ is the smeared dimensions in the Z space. In the case of $d_{\mathrm{Z}} = 1$, the power function must be replaced by $\ln|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}|$. Q_α denotes the charge (or mass) of D4-brane and each D4-brane is located at $z^a = z^a_{(\alpha)}$.

D. Summary of dynamical Dp-brane system with angles and their properties

In order to find the configurations of a higher dimensional Dp-brane system with angles $(p > 5)$, we can repeat the above procedure by use of T-duality. For instance, the solution describing angled D5-brane system would have a two-dimensional transverse Z space, and then the function $\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)$ is given by the harmonic function $\ln[z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}]$ $(d_{\mathrm{Z}} = 0)$ or $[z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}]$ $(d_{\mathrm{Z}} = 1)$, while the D6-brane system would have the appearance of an anisotropic domain wall in one-dimensional transverse Z space, and it is not asymptotically flat $(\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) \propto [z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}])$.

We just summarize our result for general N Dp-brane system with different SU(2) angles as follows.

1. N Dp-brane system with different SU(2) angles

The metric is given by

$$ds^2 \;=\; h^{\frac{p-7}{8}}\left[-dt^2+\gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j+\delta_{mn}d\zeta^m d\zeta^n+hu_{ab}(\mathrm{Z})dz^a dz^b\right]\,, \tag{43a}$$

with

$$\gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j \;=\; \delta_{ij}dy^i dy^j+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)}\left[\left\{\left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^1{}_i dy^i\right\}^2+\left\{\left(R_{(\alpha)}\right)^3{}_j dy^j\right\}^2\right], \tag{44a}$$

$$h(t,z) \;=\; 1+f(t,z)\,. \tag{44b}$$

The number of the dimensions of ζ^m-space is $p-2$, assuming $p\geq 2$. The functions $f(t,z)$ and $f_{(\alpha)}(t,z)$ in Dp-brane are given by

$$f(t,z)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_{(\alpha)}+\sum_{\alpha<\beta}^{N} f_{(\alpha)}f_{(\beta)}\sin^2\left(\theta_\alpha-\theta_\beta\right), \tag{45a}$$

$$f_{(\alpha)}(t,z)=\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)}(t)+\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)\,, \tag{45b}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{(\alpha)}(t)=c_{(\alpha)0}t+c_{(\alpha)1}\,,\qquad \bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)=\bar{c}_\alpha+\frac{Q_\alpha}{|z^a-z^a_{(\alpha)}|^{5-p-d_{\mathrm{Z}}}}\,, \tag{45c}$$

where $c_{(\alpha)0}$, $c_{(\alpha)1}$, $\bar{c}_\alpha$ are constants, and the rotation matrices $R_{(\alpha)}$ are defined by Eq. (25). $d_{\mathrm{Z}}(=0,\cdots,6-p)$ denotes the number of smeared dimensions in Z space. In the case of $d_{\mathrm{Z}}=5-p$, the power function must be replaced by $\ln|z^a-z^a_{(\alpha)}|$. Q_α $(\alpha=1,\cdots,N)$ are the charges (or masses) of Dp-branes and each Dp-brane is located at $z^a_{(\alpha)}$, respectively.

2. Properties

One important result with non-trivial angles $(\theta_\alpha\neq\theta_\beta)$ is that the non-linear interaction term between branes appears as in Eq. (45a).

From the solution (45), we find that the worldvolume of the time-dependent brane system in ten dimensions for the static observer is given by $\sqrt{-g}\propto h^{5(p-3)/8}$. Hence, if $c_{(\alpha)0}<0$, it is contracting for $p\geq 4$ while expanding for $p\leq 2$. The world volume is constant for the D3 brane system. On the other hand, the transverse space to Dp-brane always contracts as $h^{(p+1)/16}$. For the case with $c_{(\alpha)0}>0$, we find the opposite behavior.

A curvature singularity appears at $h=0$ in the ten-dimensional spacetime. The regular region in ten-dimensional spacetime is obtained for if and only if $h>0$. This regular spacetime region is bounded by curvature singularities.

If $d_{\rm Z} \leq 4-p$, although h diverges on the branes, we find a regular spacetime at infinity. For $d_{\rm Z} = 5-p$, since we have

$$\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) = Q_k \, \ln |z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}| \, , \tag{46}$$

the harmonic function h diverges at infinity ($|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}| \to \infty$) as well as near D$p$-branes ($|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}| \approx 0$). Hence such a solution may not be physically relevant. For $d_{\rm Z} = 6-p$, the functions h is given by a sum of linear functions of z. Then this solution gives a regular behavior near branes, although the spacetime is not asymptotically flat.

As a result, the physically relevant solutions are classified by their behaviors into two classes: $d_{\rm Z} \leq 4-p$ and $d_{\rm Z} = 6-p$. The collision of branes shows different behaviors as we will see later.

3. Compactified spacetime

Since some dimensions are homogeneous, we can discuss the dynamics of a compactified spacetime. We compactify some dimensions of homogeneous spaces (Y-space, ζ^m-space, and $d_{\rm Z}$ dimensional smeared Z-space). We assume that Y-space, ζ^m-space and $d_c (\leq d_{\rm Z})$ dimensions in smeared Z-space are compacitified. As a result, we find $(d+1)$-dimensional inhomogeneous compactified spacetime in the Einstein frame as

$$ds^2_{d+1} = -h^{-\frac{d}{d-1}} dt^2 + h^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} dz_d^2 \, , \tag{47}$$

where $d = 7-p-d_c$.

In the far region from the branes, since $h \approx c_{(\alpha)0} t$ for $c_{(\alpha)0} > 0$, this spacetime expands as $|\tau - \tau_0|^{-\frac{1}{d-2}}$ for $d \neq 2$ or $\exp[\mp c_{(\alpha)0}(\tau - \tau_0)]$ for $d = 2$, where τ is the cosmic time in the $(d+1)$-dimensional spacetime and τ_0 is an integration constant, which is given by $(\tau - \tau_0) \propto t^{\frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}}$ for $d \neq 2$ or $(\tau - \tau_0) = \pm \frac{1}{c_{(\alpha)0}} \ln t$ for $d = 2$. However, since this is an inhomogeneous $(d+1)$-dimensional spacetime, it cannot describe our universe. It does not describe a time-dependent black hole system either because of the present too simple brane configuration[8–10].

In order to discuss cosmology, we must find our three space in the homogeneous spaces (Y-space, ζ^m-space, and a subset of $d_{\rm Z}$ dimensional smeared Z-space) by fixing z^a coordinates of unsmeared Z-space. This means that our universe is described by a test 3-brane located at

some point $z^a = z^a_{(0)}$. If we choose our three space in Y-space, we find our four-dimensional spacetime in the Einstein frame as

$$ds_4^2 = h^{-\frac{(p+1)d_{\rm Z}}{16}} \left(-dt^2 + dy_3^2\right) . \tag{48}$$

Since $h \approx c_{(\alpha)0} t$ ($c_{(\alpha)0} > 0$), the universe expands as $|\tau - \tau_0|^{-\frac{(p+1)d_{\rm Z}}{32-(p+1)d_{\rm Z}}}$ where τ is the cosmic time of our universe. For D3-branes ($p = 3$), since $d_{\rm Z} \leq 4$, the power exponent is always negative, which shows a power-law inflation when $\tau \to \tau_0$[40], except for the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 0$ which is just a Minkowski spacetime.

In what follows, using the solutions obtained in this paper, we shall discuss the collision of D3-branes with different angles in ten-dimensional spacetime.

III. COLLISION OF DYNAMICAL D3-BRANE

A. Collision of two D3-branes

We now discuss collision of two D3-branes with different angles. The behavior of the harmonic function $\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)$ is classified into two classes depending on the number of smeared dimensions of the D3-brane, that is, $d_{\rm Z} \leq 1$ and $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, which we will discuss below separately. For $d_{\rm Z} = 2$, the harmonic function $\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)$ diverges both at infinity and near D3-branes.

1. $d_{\rm Z} \leq 1$

The harmonic function h becomes dominant in the limit of $z^a \to z^a_{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha = 1$ and 2). Hence, we recover a static spacetime of the Dp-brane system near branes. On the other hand, the function h depends only on time t in the limit of $|z^a| \to \infty$. As a result, in the far region from branes, the homogeneous spacetime is found.

In order to analyze the brane collision, we consider a concrete example as follows: Two D3-branes are located at $z^a_{(1)} = (z_{(1)}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $z^a_{(2)} = (z_{(2)}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We assume that $\tilde{f}_{(1)}$ is time-dependent, and we discuss the time evolution separately with respect to the signature of a constant $c_{(1)0}$, because the behavior of spacetime strongly depends on it. Since the spacetime is singular at $h(t, z) = 0$, the regular spacetime is obtained inside the

spacetime region restricted by

$$h(t,z) = 1 + f(t,z) > 0\,, \tag{49}$$

where the function $f(t,z)$ is given by with

$$f(t,z) = c_{(1)0}t + c_{(1)1} + c_{(2)1} + \frac{Q_1}{|z^a - z^a_{(1)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}} + \frac{Q_2}{|z^a - z^a_{(2)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}}\,. \tag{50}$$

We have set $\bar{c}_1 = \bar{c}_2 = 0$ without loss of generality. Since the spacetime cannot be extended beyond this region, the regular spacetime with two D3-branes ends on these singular hypersurfaces. The solution with $c_{(1)0} > 0$ is the time reversal one of $c_{(1)0} < 0$, because the time dependence appears only in the form of $c_{(1)0}t$. In the following, we consider the case with $c_{(1)0} < 0$.

For $c_{(1)0} < 0$ and the appropriate choice of the constant c, if $Q_1, Q_2 > 0$, the ten-dimensional spacetime is nonsingular at the initial time ($t = 0$) because the function h is positive everywhere. In the limit of $t \to -\infty$, the ten-dimensional spacetime becomes asymptotically a time-dependent uniform background except for the cylindrical forms of infinite throats near branes ($|z^a - z^a_{(\alpha)}| \approx 0$).

As time evolves ($t > 0$), the singularity appears from a far region ($|z - z_{(\alpha)}| \to \infty$) and the singular hypersurface erodes the region with the large values of $|z - z_{(\alpha)}|$. As a result, only the region of near D3-branes remains regular. A singular hypersurface eventually surrounds each D3-brane individually and then the regular regions near D3-branes splits into two isolated throats.

In Fig. 1, for the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 1$, we show the proper distance $d(t,\theta)$ between two branes at $z^a_{(1)}$ and $z^a_{(2)}$, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d(t,\theta) \;=\; & \int_{z_{(1)}}^{z_{(2)}} dz \left[c_{(1)0}\, t + c_{(1)1} + c_{(2)1} + 1 + \frac{Q_1}{|z - z_{(1)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}} + \frac{Q_2}{|z - z_{(2)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(c_{(1)0}\, t + c_{(1)1} + \frac{Q_1}{|z - z_{(1)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}} \right) \left(c_{(2)1} + \frac{Q_2}{|z - z_{(2)}|^{2-d_{\rm Z}}} \right) \sin^2\theta \right]^{1/4}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where we have defined $z \equiv z^1$, and $d_{\rm Z}$ denotes the number of smeared dimension. This is a monotonically decreasing function of t if $c_{(1)0} < 0$. In Fig. 1, we choose $c_{(1)0} = -1$, $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$ and $z_{(1)} = -z_{(2)} = -1$.

Fig. 1 shows that a singularity appears earlier as he angle θ increases from 0 to $\pi/2$, but the singularity always appears before the distance d vanishes. Then, a singularity between two D3-branes forms before they collide each other.



(a) (b)

FIG. 1: (a) For the case of $Q_1 = Q_2$ in the dynamical D3-branes, the proper distance between two dynamical D3-branes given in (51) is depicted. We fix $d_{\rm Z} = 1$, $c_{(1)0} = -1$, $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)1} = c_{(2)1} = 1$ for the angle $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. A singularity appears between two D3-branes and the spacetime split into two isolated brane throats before they collide. (b) We also show the proper distance $d(t)$ between two dynamical D3-branes for $\theta = 0$ (dashed curve), $\theta = \pi/4$ (bold curve) and $\theta = \pi/2$ (solid curve) from the bottom in the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 1$, $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and , $c_{(1)0} = -1$ in the dynamical D3-brane system. Although the proper distance decreases as t increases, the distance is still finite when a singularity appears.

There is no qualitative difference when we have the different magnitudes of charges. Two branes approach very slowly, but a singularity eventually appears at a finite distance and the spacetime splits into two isolated D3-brane throats.

We should also mention about the case with different signs of charges (a system of the D3-brane and anti D3-brane). If Q_1 and Q_2 have the different signs, we find that there always exists a singularity between two branes. Hence we cannot even set up such a situation from the beginning. It is, however, not the case for $d_{\rm Z} = 3$ (See the next subsection).

Hence, we cannot discuss a D3-brane collision in the case of $d_{\rm Z} \leq 1$.

2. $d_{\rm Z} = 3$

Next we consider the case $d_{\rm Z} = 3$. We set two D3-branes with a brane charge Q_1 at $z = z_{(1)}$ and the other Q_2 at $z = z_{(2)}$. The solution for $\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z)$ is obtained explicitly as

$$\bar{f}_{(\alpha)}(z) = Q_k \left| z - z_{(\alpha)} \right| , \tag{52}$$

where Q_k and $z_{(\alpha)}$ are constant parameters. We set $\bar{c}_\alpha = 0$ without loss of generality.

The proper distance between two D3-branes is given by

$$d(t,\theta) = \int_{z_{(1)}}^{z_{(2)}} dz \left[c_{(1)0}\, t + c_{(1)1} + c_{(2)1} + 1 + Q_1|z - z_{(1)}| + Q_2|z - z_{(2)}| \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(c_{(1)0}\, t + c_{(1)1} + Q_1|z - z_{(1)}|\right)\left(c_{(2)1} + Q_2|z - z_{(2)}|\right)\sin^2\theta \right]^{1/4} . \qquad (53)$$

The proper distance is a monotonically decreasing function of t if we set again $c_{(1)0} < 0$. We illustrate $d(t,\theta)$ for the case of the D3-brane system in Fig. 2. We also depict the proper distance $d(t)$ for a given angles $\theta = 0, \pi/4$ and $\pi/2$, and the angular dependence of the proper distance $d(\theta)$ at some particular times $t = 0, 1, 2, 2.5, 4$ and 5 in Fig. 3. We set $c_{(1)0} = -1$, $c_{(1)1} = c_{(2)1} = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$.



FIG. 2: For the case of $Q_1 = Q_2$ in the dynamical D3-branes, the proper distance between two dynamical D3-branes given in (51) is depicted. We fix $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, $c_{(1)0} = -1$, $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)1} = c_{(2)1} = 1$ for the $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. The distance decreases, and then two D3-branes collide each other. The proper distance rapidly vanishes near two branes collide for the case of $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi$, $\theta = 2\pi$.

The angle dependence is the similar to the case of $d_{\rm Z} \le 1$. The proper distance d between two branes never vanishes. For the case of the equal charges ($Q_1 = Q_2$), however, there is one exceptional case, which is two D3-brane system with the trivial angle ($\theta = 0$ or π). In this case, as we can see in Figs. 2 and 3, d vanishes just when a singularity appears. Two D3-branes collide completely and a singularity appears at the same time. Hence we conclude that two branes will never collide each other except the case with the trivial angles.

How about the different values of charges ? We then show the case with different magnitudes of charges in Fig. 4. In this figure, we have just shown the case with $\theta = 0$, which may give the closest distance when a singularity appears. This figure shows that any two-brane system with the different magnitudes of charges never collide when a singularity appears.



(a) (b)

FIG. 3: (a) We show the proper distance between two dynamical D3-branes for $\theta = 0$ (dashed curve), $\theta = \pi/4$ (bold curve) and $\theta = \pi/2$ (solid curve) from the bottom in the case of $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)0} = -1$ in the dynamical D3-brane system. If we set $\theta = 0$, it causes the complete collision at $t = 5$ simultaneously. For the case of $\theta \neq n\pi$ ($n =$ integer), it is still finite when a singularity appears. (b) We also show the snapshots at $t = 0$ (dashed line), $t = 1$ (dashed-dotted line), $t = 2$ (solid line), $t = 2.5$ (bold line), and $t = 4$ (thick bold line). The proper distance $d(t\,,\ \theta)$ vanishes at $t = 5\,,\ \theta = n\pi$ ($n =$ integer).



FIG. 4: We depict the proper distance between two dynamical D3-branes for the cases of $10Q_1 = Q_2 = 10$ (thick bold line) and $2Q_1 = Q_2 = 2$ (solid curve). We choose $\theta = 0\,,\ d_{\rm Z} = 3,\ z_{(1)} = -1\,,\ z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)0} = -1$. All proper distances are still finite when a singularity appears.

We also show the proper distance between two branes for the case with different signs of charges such that $Q_1 = 1$ and $Q_2 = -1$ in Figs. 5 and 6. In this case, it describes the system of the D3-brane and the anti D3-brane with the same masses of $M_1 = M_2 = 1$.

Although two branes approach each other, a singularity always appears before two branes collide. It is different from the case with the same charges ($Q_1 = Q_2$), in which two branes can collide when $\theta = 0$ or π. The proper distance $d(t, \theta)$ never vanishes for the present case.



FIG. 5: For the case of $Q_1 = -Q_2$ in the dynamical D3-branes, the proper distance between two dynamical D3-branes given in (51) is depicted. We fix $d_Z = 3$, $c_{(1)0} = -1$, $Q_1 = -Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)1} = c_{(2)1} = 1$ for the $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. In this case, a singularity appears at $t < 0$ when the distance is still finite. Then, the solution does not describe the collision of two D3-branes.



(a) (b)

FIG. 6: (a) We show the proper distance at $\theta = 0$ (dotted line), 0.35π (dashed-dotted line), 0.5π (solid line) in the case of dynamical D3-brane system. We set $d_Z = 3$, $Q_1 = -Q_2 = 1$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)0} = -1$. Although the proper distance decreases as t increases, the distance is still finite when a singularity appears at $t < 0$. (b)We also depict the snapshots at $t = -4$ (dashed line), $t = -3$ (solid line), $t = -2$ (bold line), and $t = -1$ (thick bold line). Although the proper distance decreases as t increases, the distance is still finite when a singularity appears at $t < 0$.

This result does not change even if the magnitudes of two charges are different, as we show in Fig. 7.

We then conclude that for the case of $d_Z = 3$, only two-brane system with the same charges as well as with the trivial angle ($\theta = 0$ or π) gives a complete brane collision.



FIG. 7: We depict the proper distance between two dynamical branes for the cases of $Q_1 = -Q_2 = 1$ (dashed-dotted line) and $5Q_1 = -Q_2 = 5$ (dashed curve) . We choose $\theta = 0$, $d_{\rm Z} = 3$, $z_{(1)} = -1$, $z_{(2)} = 1$, and $c_{(1)0} = -1$. All proper distances are still finite when a singularity appears.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

In the present paper, we have discussed the dynamical Dp-brane solution which describes several Dp-branes oriented at angles with respect to one another. Since the corresponding background field configurations remain largely unexplored, we have presented one such class of solutions in the time-dependent Dp-brane background. The dynamical solution which we have obtained in this paper describes any number of Dp-brane whose relative orientations are given by certain SU(2) rotations. These are functions of time that becomes static near D-branes with the simplest possible dependence on the warp factor. In the far region from angled Dp-brane in the ten-dimensional background, the solutions give purely contracting or expanding uniform universe. The worldvolume of the time-dependent brane is also either contracting or expanding in ten dimensions depending on the brane dimensions as well as the sign of $c_{(\alpha)0}$ except for D3-brane system. The transverse space is always contracting if $c_{(\alpha)0} < 0$.

We have also discussed the dynamics of angled D3-branes models with applications to collision of branes. The two D3-branes approach at a angle θ in the direction of spatial part of world volume coordinates y^i. We have studied the dynamics of D3-branes which have been smeared along the transverse space to D3-branes. If two D3-brane charges are different from each other ($Q_1 = -Q_2$), the distance between two D3-branes is still finite when a singularity appears. Thus, we cannot describe the collision of two D3-branes in terms of the solution. For $d_{\rm Z} \leq 1$, a singularity again appears before D3-branes collide.

Then, the topology of the spacetime eventually changes so that branes are separated by singular hypersurfaces surrounding each D3-brane. This behavior also appears if $Q_1 = Q_2$ and $d_{\rm Z} = 3$. A singularity forms at $\theta \neq 0\,, \pi\,$, when the distance is still finite. On the other hand, it has been shown that there exists a complete collision where the orientation of configurations between two smeared D3-branes with $Q_1 = Q_2$ are either 0 or π. This result may be related to supersymmetry, which may be broken for non-paralell branes.

Although the examples presented in the present paper cannot provide a realistic cosmological model, the solution may be utilized to construct a cosmological solution just by introduction of a test brane universe in higher-dimensions. We may also construct new type of time-dependent black hole solution with non-trivial angles by setting up more complicated brane configuration. Those subjects are left for a future works.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Scientific Research Fund of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 25400276).

---

[1] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, "Brane worlds in collision," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 131602 [arXiv:hep-th/0501117].

[2] W. Chen, Z. -W. Chong, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, "Horava-Witten stability: Eppur si muove," Nucl. Phys. B **732** (2006) 118 [hep-th/0502077].

[3] H. Kodama and K. Uzawa, "Moduli instability in warped compactifications of the type IIB supergravity," JHEP **0507** (2005) 061 [arXiv:hep-th/0504193].

[4] H. Kodama and K. Uzawa, "Comments on the four-dimensional effective theory for warped compactification," JHEP **0603** (2006) 053 [arXiv:hep-th/0512104].

[5] H. Kodama and K. Uzawa, "Moduli instability in warped compactification," hep-th/0601100.

[6] P. Binetruy, M. Sasaki and K. Uzawa, "Dynamical D4-D8 and D3-D7 branes in supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 026001 [arXiv:0712.3615 [hep-th]].

[7] P. Binetruy, M. Sasaki and K. Uzawa, "Dynamical solution of supergravity," arXiv:0801.3507 [hep-th].

[8] K. Maeda, N. Ohta and K. Uzawa, "Dynamics of intersecting brane systems – Classification and their applications –," JHEP **0906** (2009) 051 [arXiv:0903.5483 [hep-th]].

[9] G. W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, "Black Holes in an Expanding Universe," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 131101 [arXiv:0912.2809 [gr-qc]].

[10] K. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black Hole in the Expanding Universe from Intersecting Branes," Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 044017 [arXiv:0912.2811 [hep-th]].

[11] K. Uzawa, "Dynamical intersecting brane solutions of supergravity," AIP Conf. Proc. **1200** (2010) 541.

[12] K. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black Hole in the Expanding Universe with Arbitrary Power-Law Expansion," Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 124038 [arXiv:1003.2849 [gr-qc]].

[13] M. Minamitsuji, N. Ohta and K. Uzawa, "Dynamical solutions in the 3-Form Field Background in the Nishino-Salam-Sezgin Model," Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 126005 [arXiv:1003.5967 [hep-th]].

[14] K. Maeda, M. Minamitsuji, N. Ohta and K. Uzawa, "Dynamical p-branes with a cosmological constant," Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 046007 [arXiv:1006.2306 [hep-th]].

[15] M. Minamitsuji, N. Ohta and K. Uzawa, "Cosmological intersecting brane solutions," Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 086002 [arXiv:1007.1762 [hep-th]].

[16] K. Uzawa, "Cosmological intersecting brane solutions in string theory," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **259** (2010) 012032.

[17] M. Nozawa and K. Maeda, "Cosmological rotating black holes in five-dimensional fake supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 024018 [arXiv:1009.3688 [hep-th]].

[18] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Cosmology in p-brane systems," Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 086002 [arXiv:1011.2376 [hep-th]].

[19] K. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black hole solutions in string theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **189** (2011) 310 [arXiv:1104.1849 [hep-th]].

[20] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Dynamics of partially localized brane systems," Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 126006 [arXiv:1109.1415 [hep-th]].

[21] K. Maeda and K. Uzawa, "Dynamical brane with angles: Collision of the universes," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 086004 [arXiv:1201.3213 [hep-th]].

[22] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Cosmological brane systems in warped spacetime," Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 046010 [arXiv:1207.4334 [hep-th]].

[23] J. Blåbäck, B. Janssen, T. Van Riet and B. Vercnocke, "Fractional branes, warped compactifications and backreacted orientifold planes," JHEP **1210** (2012) 139 [arXiv:1207.0814 [hep-th]].

[24] K. Uzawa and K. Yoshida, "Dynamical Lifshitz-type solutions and aging phenomena," Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 106003 [arXiv:1302.5224 [hep-th]].

[25] K. Uzawa and K. Yoshida, "Dynamical F-strings intersecting D2-branes in type IIA supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 066005 [arXiv:1307.3093].

[26] J. Blåbäck, B. Janssen, T. Van Riet and B. Vercnocke, "BPS domain walls from backreacted orientifolds," JHEP **1405** (2014) 040 [arXiv:1312.6125 [hep-th]].

[27] K. Uzawa and K. Yoshida, "Probe brane dynamics on cosmological brane backgrounds," Phys. Lett. B **738** (2014) 493 [arXiv:1401.3664 [hep-th]].

[28] K. Uzawa, "Colliding p-branes in the dynamical intersecting brane system," Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 2, 025024 [arXiv:1407.7406 [hep-th]].

[29] K. Maeda and K. Uzawa, "Violation of cosmic censorship in dynamical p-brane systems," Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 4, 044003 [arXiv:1510.01496 [hep-th]].

[30] J. C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud and R. C. Myers, "New angles on D-branes," Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 5172 [arXiv:hep-th/9703041].

[31] N. Hambli, "Comments on Dirichlet branes at angles," Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 2369 [hep-th/9703179].

[32] V. Balasubramanian, F. Larsen and R. G. Leigh, "Branes at angles and black holes," Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 3509 [hep-th/9704143].

[33] D. Youm, "Black holes and solitons in string theory," Phys. Rept. **316** (1999) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/9710046].

[34] J. C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud and R. C. Myers, "More D-brane bound states," Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 6438 [arXiv:hep-th/9611174].

[35] P. Di Vecchia and A. Liccardo, "D Branes in String Theory, I," NATO Sci. Ser. C **556** (2000) 1 [hep-th/9912161].

[36] P. Di Vecchia and A. Liccardo, "D-branes in string theory. 2.," hep-th/9912275.

[37] E. Bergshoeff, C. M. Hull and T. Ortin, "Duality in the type II superstring effective action," Nucl. Phys. B **451** (1995) 547 [arXiv:hep-th/9504081].

[38] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, "Duality versus supersymmetry and compactification,"

Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 3009 [hep-th/9410230].

[39] M. S. Costa and G. Papadopoulos, "Superstring dualities and p-brane bound states," Nucl. Phys. B **510** (1998) 217 [arXiv:hep-th/9612204].

[40] F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, "Power-law inflation", Phys. Rev. D **32** (1985) 1316.